

As a 160-meter user I vigorously support RM-10352. It would protect one fifth (20%) of the band for very significant "narrow-band" uses. 160 meters is a difficult band to operate on, and the majority of casual users cannot hear very well on the band. This results in unintentional interference from less-skilled and/or less-knowledgeable operators. The proposed rule making will address the most serious aspects of this problem in a consistent and simple manner.

The results are all positive as detailed by other commenters - repeated below:

- 1.) A division of narrow and wide modes would enhance use of narrow modes, including digital modes of the future.
- 2.) Lessen FCC involvement and resources used for 160-meters because it would reduce disputes and conflicts between operators.
- 3.) Provide protected space for experimentation with narrow modes of the future.
- 4.) Protect all current narrow modes from wide-mode interference.
- 5.) Bring 160-meters into the same basic structure as other bands below UHF, where ALL other bands have a narrow-mode-only segment.

(Signed)
Eugene Skopal, AE2F